

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,616	06/27/2001	Fredrick L. Linton	6.30.3218 (LBT069US)	8843
759	00 10/08/2002			
Paul Grandinetti			EXAMINER	
Levy & Grandinetti Suite 1401			SCHLAK, DANIEL K	
1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006-1401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,, usmington, De	2000 1101		3653	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/891,616	LINTON, FREDRICK L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniel K Schlak	3653				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period fo		(10.000 TO 5VDIDE - 140NTH)	0) 55014				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
1)[•						
2a)☐	Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merit	s is			
3)□	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
•	on of Claims						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
• •	on Papers	_					
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	· ·	miner				
10)[_]	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappro					
11/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
·—	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			ation).			
	\square The translation of the foreign language pro						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachmen							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the "brief description of the drawings" section is located within the section for "detailed description

Art Unit: 3653

of invention" and is without a sub-heading. The preferred order for the specification is that the "brief description of the drawings" be located *before* (preceding) the "detailed description of invention" and also that there be inserted a subheading for it as outlined above.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 7 depends on (in the alternative) claim 2, which already gives the article(s) as container. Thus, there is no need to repeat this assertion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "removing same" in line 8. Same what? Several things are mentioned in the sentence prior to this statement. A reader cannot just assume one of

Art Unit: 3653

them is being referred to while the others are not. There is no antecedent basis for the recitation.

Claim 3 recites that the article is contacted at about a center of gravity. This is odd considering actual location of the center of gravity is within the fluid being contained by the container/item, thus the center of gravity of a bottle cannot actually be "contacted".

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said article" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "thereof" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said article" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "thereof" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the degree" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the speed" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the article" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3653

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the selected articles" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the article" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the article" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "same" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US 2.945.588.

Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US 4,549,272.

Claims 1-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US 4,142,636 (and thus DE 2728473).

Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US 4,694,158.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/891,616

Art Unit: 3653

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Other references were retrieved which deal with the field of the

instant invention. Please review them prior to amending the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniel K Schlak whose telephone number is 703-305-

0885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on 703-306 - 4173. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-306-4195

for regular communications and 703-306-4195 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308 -

1113.

dks

September 27, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600